| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|---|---|--|
|   | 10/576,218  | PORTER ET AL.  |
|   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|   | Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.   | 1797   |
| All Participants:   | ants: Status of Application:                                    |  |
| (1) <u>Yelena G. Gakh</u> .   | (3)   |  |
| (2) <u>Richard S. Myers</u> .   | (4)   |  |
| Date of Interview: <u>10 September 2010</u>   | Time:   |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:  |   |  |
| Part I.   |   |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed: potential 112, first par, scope of enablement   |   |  |
| Claims discussed: 1-12  |   |  |
| Prior art documents discussed:  N/A   |   |  |
| Part II.  |   |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The Applicants agreed with the proposed examiner's amendment, which incorporates the subject matter of claim 5 with limitations to p- and m-NO2 derivatives into claim 1, thus resolving potential scope of enablement rejection and places the application into the status for allowance. |   |  |
| Part III.   |   |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>   | examiner will provide a writte<br>ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
|   | pplicant/Applicant's Representat                                | ive Signature – if appropriate)                            |
| (A  | pphoant applicant a representat                                 | ive signature – ir appropriate)                            |